

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/648,637	08/25/2003	Charles E. Friedbauer	659-1765	3892
7590 03/03/2006			EXAMINER	
Steven P. Shur	· 	FORTUNA, JOSE A		
BRINKS HOFE	ER GILSON & LIONE			
P.O. BOX 10395			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60610			1731	

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ω
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/648,637	FRIEDBAUER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	José A. Fortuna	1731
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a . riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	3 January 2005.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	·	·
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>9-12</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 August 2003 is/a		bjected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	right priority under 55 C.C.C.	g 173(a) (a) or (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage
application from the International But	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,637 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed on December 23, 2003 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because it does not comply with 37 C.F.R. §1.98, section 1, (inserted below). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

37 CFR 1.98: Content of information disclosure statement.

- (a) Any information disclosure statement filed under § 1.97 shall include the items listed in paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2) and (a)(3) of this section.
- (1) A list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications must be listed in a section separately from citations of other documents. Each page of the list must include:
- (i) The application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted;
- (ii) A column that provides a space, next to each document to be considered, for the examiner 's initials; and

Application/Control Number: 10/648,637 Page 3

Art Unit: 1731

(iii) A heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement.

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1731

Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phan et al., 4. US Patent No. 6,447,642 or Van Phan, US Patent No. 5,609,725 or Van Phan, US Patent No. 5,814,190 or Phan et al., US Patent No. 5,820,730 with or without Kenney et al., US Patent No. 5,328,757. Referred here after as US'642, US'725, US'190, US'730 and US'757 respectively. All of the above patents teach a bulky tissue made by depositing papermaking fibers into a forming wire in which the slurry is dewatered, forming a wet web, transferring said wet web to a imprinting fabric to imprint a pattern into the wet web, while further dewatering the web. The dewatered web is the further dried using a heated drum, usually/preferably a Yankee Drier, see column 3, line 62 through column 4, line 7 of US'642; column 2, line 60 through column 4, line 11 of US'725; column 9, lines 28-67 of US'190; column 9, lines 8-28 of US'730. The imprinting felt/fabric having imprinting elements, forming a pattern, decorative indicia, in the web when the web is pressed against the imprinting fabric, see column 7, line 9 though column 8, line 23 of US'190; column 12, line 52 through column 13, line 64 of US'730; column 8, lines 8-40 of US'725; and column 5, lines 36-47 of US'642. The only difference between the method of the cited references and the claimed invention is that the printing elements are not stitched to the base fabric as claimed, but bonded by curing a resin onto the base sheet. However, stitching or needling the imprinting elements onto a base sheet is known in the art and a recognized form of bonding materials and therefore, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art absent a showing of unexpected results. Note also that it has been held that "[W]here two equivalents are interchangeable for their desired function, substitution would have been obvious and thus, express suggestion of desirability of the substitution of one for the other is unnecessary." In re Fout 675 F. 2d 297, 213 USPQ 532 (CCPA 1982); In re Siebentritt, 372 F.2d Art Unit: 1731

566, 152 USPQ 618 (CCPA 1967). Moreover, US'757 teaches that multilayered papermaking fabrics, felts, can be joined by needling, hydroentangling laminating, thermo-bonding, chemical bonding or ultra-sonic or the use of adhesive, such as hot melt adhesives, which further and clearly evidence the equivalence of the bonding of the different layers, and thus, the stitching of the layers would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure in the art of "Method of Making Tissues Having Increased Bulk."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José A. Fortuna whose telephone number is 571-272-1188. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

José A Fortuna Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731

JAF